arXiv:math/0411450v1 [math.AC] 20 Nov 2004

Algebra Colloquium **11**:4 (2004) 467-476

Algebra Colloquium
© AMSS CAS 2004

Local Homology and Local Cohomology*

Zhongming Tang
Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University
Suzhou 215006, China
E-mail: zmtang@suda.edu.cn

Received 5 March 2002

Communicated by Jin-gen Yang

Abstract. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, I a proper ideal of R and M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d. We discuss the local homology modules of $H_I^d(M)$. When M is Cohen-Macaulay, it is proved that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay of N.dimension d and $H_d^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) \cong \widehat{M}$ where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a system of parameters for M.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C14, 13D45

Keywords: local homology, local cohomology, Cohen-Macaulay modules

1 Introduction

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a (commutative Noetherian) local ring and M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d. By top local cohomology modules, we mean the local cohomology modules $H_I^d(M)$, where I is a proper ideal of R. As is well-known, $H_I^d(M)$ is an Artinian R-module (cf. [6]). For the Artinian modules over a commutative quasi-local (not necessarily Noetherian) ring, there is a theory of local homology (cf. [11]). In this paper, we will mainly discuss the local homology modules of top local cohomology modules of Cohen-Macaulay modules.

The N.dimension and width of Artinian modules were defined in [8] and [9]. In section 2, we show that $\mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^d(M)) \leq d$, and $\mathrm{width}_R(H_I^d(M)) \geq \min\{2, d\}$ if $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$. For an Artinian module X over a commutative quasi-local ring A, it is always the case that $\mathrm{width}_A(X) \leq \mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$. When the equality holds, we say that X is co-Cohen-Macaulay. Then it turns out that $H_I^d(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay if $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$ and $d \leq 2$. When M is Cohen-Macaulay, it is proved that M-regular sequences

---

[*] Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10071054).

are $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$-coregular. As a corollary, we have that $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay of N.dimension d if M is Cohen-Macaulay. Under the assumption of Cohen-Macaulayness on M, the main result in section 3 states that $H^{\underline{x}}_d(H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \cong \widehat{M}$ where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a system of parameters for M, which, with another result in this section, demonstrates that local homology and local cohomology are dual to each other in some sense.

2 Coregular Sequences on Top Local Cohomology Modules

Let $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ be a commutative quasi-local ring and X an Artinian A-module. Roberts [9] introduced a dimension for X. Following Kirby [3], we call this dimension as N.dimension (Noetherian dimension) of X, denoted by $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$. $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$ is defined as follows: we put $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X) = -1$ when $X = 0$, then, inductively, let $r \geq 0$ be an integer, when $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X) < r$ is false and for any ascending chain

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of submodules of X there exists an integer n such that $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X_{m+1}/X_m) < r$ for all $m \geq n$, we put $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X) = r$. Then, $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X) = 0$ if and only if X has finite length and $\mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$ is the least integer r such that $0 :_X (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ has finite length for some $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathfrak{n}$ (cf. [9]).

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}$. We say that $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is an X-coregular sequence if

$$0 :_X (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{x_i} 0 :_X (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})$$

is surjective for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The width of X, denoted by $\mathrm{width}_A(X)$, is the length of a maximal X-coregular sequence in $\mathfrak{n}$. For any X-coregular element $x \in \mathfrak{n}$, we have that

$$\mathrm{N.dim}_A(0 :_X x) = \mathrm{N.dim}_A(X) - 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{width}_A(0 :_X x) = \mathrm{width}_A(X) - 1,$$

(cf. [8] and [9]).

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension d over a local ring, the following proposition states that regular sequences on M are coregular on the top local cohomology module $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M a Cohen-Macaulay R-module. If $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a regular sequence on M, then it is $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$-coregular. Furthermore, for any $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\alpha_{i,n} : H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_i^n)M) \longrightarrow 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^n, \ldots, x_i^n),$$

and, for all $n \geq 1$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_i^{n+1})M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{i,n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\nu_n"'] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_i^{n+1}) \arrow[d, "x_1 \cdots x_i"] \\
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1^{n}, \dots, x_i^{n})M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{i,n}"] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^{n}, \dots, x_i^{n})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ν_n is the natural homomorphism.

Proof. We use induction on i. Set $\underline{x}_i^n = (x_1^n, \dots, x_i^n)$. Considering the long exact sequences of local cohomology modules of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "x_1^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "x_1"'] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M/x_1^{n+1}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "x_1^{n}"] & M \arrow[r] & M/x_1^{n}M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the middle vertical homomorphism is the identity map and the right vertical homomorphism is the natural map, as M/x_1^nM is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d-1$, we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1^{n+1}M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r, "x_1^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "x_1"'] & H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1^{n}M) \arrow[r] & H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r, "x_1^{n}"] & H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus x_1 is $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$-coregular and, for any $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{1,n} : H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1^nM) \longrightarrow 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} x_1^n,$$

and, for all $n \geq 1$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1^{n+1}M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{1,n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\nu_{1,n}"'] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} x_1^{n+1} \arrow[d, "x_1"] \\
H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1^{n}M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{1,n}"] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} x_1^{n}
\end{tikzcd}$$

so, the result is true for $i = 1$.

Now suppose that $i > 1$ and the result is true for $i - 1$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i-1}M)} x_i^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\overline{\alpha}_{i-1,n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\nu}_{i-1,n}"'] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} \underline{x}_i^{n+1} \arrow[d, "x_1\cdots x_{i-1}"] \\
0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M)} x_i^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\alpha'_{i-1,n}"] \arrow[d, "x_i"'] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (\underline{x}^n_{i-1}, x_i^{n+1}) \arrow[d, "x_i"] \\
0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M)} x_i^{n} \arrow[r, "\overline{\alpha}_{i-1,n}"] & 0 :_{H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} \underline{x}_i^{n}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{\alpha}_{i-1,n+1}, \alpha'_{i-1,n}$ and $\overline{\alpha}_{i-1,n}$ are the isomorphisms obtained by restricting $\alpha_{i-1,n+1}, \alpha_{i-1,n}$ and $\alpha_{i-1,n}$ on the corresponding submodules respectively, and $\overline{\nu}_{i-1,n}$ is also the restriction of $\nu_{i-1,n}$. Considering the long exact sequences of local cohomology modules of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i-1}M \arrow[r, "x_i^{n+1}"] \arrow[d] & M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i-1}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M \arrow[r, "x_i^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "x_i"'] & M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M/\underline{x}^{n,n+1}_{i-1,i}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M \arrow[r, "x_i^{n}"] & M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M \arrow[r] & M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i}M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\underline{x}^{n,n+1}_{i-1,i} = (\underline{x}^n_{i-1}, x_i^{n+1})$ and unlabeled maps are natural maps, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows by using temporarily H^i_N to denote $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(N)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^{d-i}_{M/\underline{x}_i^{n+1}M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r, "x_i^{n+1}"] \arrow[d] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n+1}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^{d-i}_{M/\underline{x}^{n,n+1}_{i-1,i}M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r, "x_i^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "x_i"'] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^{d-i}_{M/\underline{x}_i^{n}M} \arrow[r] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r, "x_i^{n}"] & H^{d-i+1}_{M/\underline{x}^{n}_{i-1}M} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $x_i H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_{i-1}M) = H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_{i-1}M)$. As $\alpha_{i-1,1}$ is an isomorphism and $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ is $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$-coregular, we see that $x_1, \ldots, x_i$ is an $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$-coregular sequence. Further, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_i^{n+1}M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_{i-1}^{n+1}M)} x_i^{n+1} \arrow[d, "\overline{\nu}_{i-1,n}"] \\
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\underline{x}_{i-1}^{n}, x_i^{n+1})M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_{i-1}^{n}M)} x_i^{n+1} \arrow[d, "x_i"] \\
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_i^{n}M) \arrow[r] & 0 :_{H^{d-i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_{i-1}^{n}M)} x_i^{n}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the rows are isomorphisms and the composition of the two left vertical maps is just the homomorphism reduced from the natural homomorphism $M/\underline{x}_i^{n+1}M \longrightarrow M/\underline{x}_i^{n}M$. Thus, for any $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{i,n} : H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_i^{n}M) \longrightarrow 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} \underline{x}_i^{n},$$

and, for all $n \geq 1$ the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_i^{n+1}M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{i,n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\nu_{i,n}"'] & 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} \underline{x}_i^{n+1} \arrow[d, "x_1 \cdots x_i"] \\
H^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/\underline{x}_i^{n}M) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{i,n}"] & 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} \underline{x}_i^{n}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The proposition follows. □

The following corollary will be used in the next section.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d and $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ a system of parameters for M. Suppose that M is Cohen-Macaulay. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\alpha_n : M/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)M \longrightarrow 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n),$$

and, for all $n \geq 1$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M/(x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})M \arrow[r, "\alpha_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\nu_n"'] & 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1}) \arrow[d, "x_1 \cdots x_d"] \\
M/(x_1^{n}, \ldots, x_d^{n})M \arrow[r, "\alpha_n"] & 0 :_{H^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)} (x_1^{n}, \ldots, x_d^{n})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ν_n is the natural homomorphism.

For the N.dimension and width of top local cohomology modules, we have the following two propositions.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated R-module of dimension d and I a proper ideal of R. Then*

$$N.dim_R(H^d_I(M)) \leq d.$$

Proof. We use induction on d. When $d = 0$, M has finite length. Then M is annihilated by some power of I, hence $H_I^0(M) = M$. Thus $\mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^0(M)) = 0$.

Now assume that $d > 0$. As $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ is a submodule of M which has finite length, we see that $M/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \neq 0$ is also of dimension d. Further, as $H_I^i(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, from the long exact sequence of local cohomology modules of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \to M \to M/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \to 0$$

we get that

$$H_I^d(M) \cong H_I^d(M/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)).$$

Thus, we may assume that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = 0$. Then, in $\mathfrak{m}$, there exists non-zero divisors on M. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be any non-zero divisor on M. Thus $\dim(M/xM) = d - 1$, hence $H_I^d(M/xM) = 0$. Considering the long exact sequence of local cohomology modules of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{x} M \to M/xM \to 0,$$

we have the following exact sequence

$$\cdots\cdots \to H_I^{d-1}(M/xM) \to H_I^d(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_I^d(M) \to 0.$$

Then $xH_I^d(M) = H_I^d(M)$ and $0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x$ is isomorphic to a factor module of $H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)$, hence

$$\mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^d(M)) = \mathrm{N.dim}_R(0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x) + 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{N.dim}_R(0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x) \leq \mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)).$$

Therefore, by induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^d(M)) \\ = \; & \mathrm{N.dim}_R(0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x) + 1 \\ \leq \; & \mathrm{N.dim}_R(H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)) + 1 \\ \leq \; & (d-1) + 1 \\ = \; & d \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated R-module of dimension d and I a proper ideal of R. If $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$, then*

$$\mathit{width}_R(H_I^d(M)) \geq \min\{2, d\}.$$

Proof. When $d = 0$, it is trivial. We assume that $d \geq 1$. As in the proof of proposition 2.3, we may assume that there exists $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is a non-zero divisor on M, and we have $xH_I^d(M) = H_I^d(M)$ and an epimorphism $H_I^{d-1}(M/xM) \longrightarrow 0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x$. Then $\mathrm{width}_R(H_I^d(M)) \geq 1$ and as $H_I^d(M)$ is Artinian, we have $0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x \neq 0$, hence $H_I^{d-1}(M/xM) \neq 0$.

Suppose that $d \geq 2$. Then, by using the same arguments to $H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)$, we get some $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $yH_I^{d-1}(M/xM) = H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)$. But, $0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x$ is a homomorphic image of $H_I^{d-1}(M/xM)$, so, $y(0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x) = 0 :_{H_I^d(M)} x$. Thus, x, y is an $H_I^d(M)$-coregular sequence, hence, $\mathrm{width}_R(H_I^d(M)) \geq 2$. It follows that $\mathrm{width}_R(H_I^d(M)) \geq \min\{2, d\}$. □

For an Artinian module X over a commutative quasi-local ring A, it is always the case that $\mathrm{width}_A(X) \leq \mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$. When the equality holds, we say that X is co-Cohen-Macaulay. Co-Cohen-Macaulay modules were introduced and studied in [11] and [12].

Let k be a field and $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be a formal power series ring. Then R is a local ring and $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is the maximal ideal. Let $K = k[X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}]$ be the k-module of polynomials in $X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}$ with coefficients in k. Then K is an Artinian R-module (see [2]). It is easy to see that $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is a K-coregular sequence and $0 :_K (X_1, \dots, X_n) = k$ has finite length, hence, $\mathrm{width}_R(K) = \mathrm{N.dim}_R(K) = n$. Thus K is a co-Cohen-Macaulay R-module.

From propositions 2.3 and 2.4, we have immediately the following

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d and I a proper ideal of R. If $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$ and $d \leq 2$, then $H_I^d(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay.*

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and $M \neq 0$ a Cohen-Macaulay R-module of dimension d. Let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters for M. Then, by proposition 2.1, $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$-coregular, hence, $\mathrm{width}_R(M) \geq d$. Thus, the following proposition follows from proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated R-module of dimension d. If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay of N.dimension d.*

3 Local Homology of Top Local Cohomology Modules

Let $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ be a commutative quasi-local ring and X an Artinian A-module. For any sequence $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ and an integer i, the i-th local homology module $H_i^{\underline{x}}(X)$ of X with respect to $\underline{x}$ is defined by

$$\varprojlim_{n} \{H_i(K.(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n; X))\},$$

where $K.(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n; X)$ is the Koszul complex of X with respect to $x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n$. Then $H_i^{\underline{x}}(\ )$ is an additive, A-linear covariant functor from the category of Artinian A-modules and A-homomorphisms to the category of A-modules and A-homomorphisms, and, for any short exact sequence of Artinian A-modules, there is a long exact sequence of local homology modules. Furthermore, it is proved in [11] that $H_i^{\underline{x}}(X) = 0$ for $i > \mathrm{N.dim}_A(X)$ and $\inf\{i \mid H_i^{\underline{x}}(X) \neq 0\}$ is the width of X on the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$, i.e., the length of a maximal X-coregular sequence in the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$.

Now we can prove the main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d and $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ a system of parameters for M. If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$H_i^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) \cong \begin{cases} \widehat{M} & i = j = d \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\widehat{M}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of M.

Proof. Since M is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M) = 0$ if $j \neq d$. On the other hand, as $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay of N.dimension d and $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is an $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$-coregular sequence by propositions 2.6 and 2.1, we have that $H_i^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) = 0$ if $i \neq d$. Hence, it is enough to show that $H_d^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) \cong \widehat{M}$.

Since

$$H_d^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) \cong \varprojlim_n \{0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)} (x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n); \varphi_n\},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_n : 0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)} (x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)} (x_1^{n-1}, \dots, x_d^{n-1}) \\ m & \longmapsto & x_1 \cdots x_d m \end{array}$$

and

$$\widehat{M} \cong \varprojlim_n \{M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)M; \psi_n\},$$

where $\psi_n : M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)M \longrightarrow M/(x_1^{n-1}, \dots, x_d^{n-1})M$ is the natural homomorphism, and, by corollary 2.2, the two inverse systems are isomorphic, it follows that $H_d^{\underline{x}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) \cong \widehat{M}$. □

Because local homology functors and local cohomology functors are R-linear, the following corollary follows from theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M a finitely generated R-module of dimension d. If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$ann_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) = ann_R(M).$$

By using the dual arguments to proposition 2.1, we can show the following

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ be a commutative quasi-local ring, X an Artinian A-module of N.dimension d and $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ such that $0 :_X \underline{x}$ has finite length. Suppose that X is co-Cohen-Macaulay. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\beta_n : H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) \longrightarrow 0 :_X (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n),$$

and, for all $n \geq 1$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) \arrow[r, "\beta_n"] \arrow[d, "x_1 \cdots x_d"'] & 0 :_X (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n) \arrow[d, "i_n"] \\
H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) \arrow[r, "\beta_{n+1}"] & 0 :_X (x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i_n is the inclusion map.

The following theorem is dual to theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, X an Artinian R-module of N.dimension d and $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ such that $0 :_X \underline{x}$ has finite length. If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$H^d_{\underline{x}}(H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)) \cong X,$$

where $\underline{x}$ is also interpreted as the ideal generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_d$.
Proof. Since

$$H^d_{\underline{x}}(H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)) \cong \varinjlim_n \{H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X); \varphi_n\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n : H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) &\to H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) \\
m + (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) &\mapsto x_1 \cdots x_d m + (x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})H^{\underline{x}}_d(X),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} 0 :_X (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n) = \varinjlim_n \{0 :_X (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n); i_n\}$$

where $i_n : 0 :_X (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n) \longrightarrow 0 :_X (x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_d^{n+1})$ is the inclusion map, but, by lemma 3.3, the two direct systems are isomorphic, it follows that $H^d_{\underline{x}}(H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)) \cong X$. □

Remark 3.5. Under the assumption of theorem 3.4, we see from the proof of lemma 3.3 that $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is an $H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)$-regular sequence and

$$H^{\underline{x}}_d(X)/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)H^{\underline{x}}_d(X) \neq 0$$

has finite length. Hence $H^{x}_{d}(X)$ would be Cohen-Macaulay if one can show that $H^{x}_{d}(X)$ is finitely generated. On the other hand, if $\dim(R) = d$, then $H^{x}_{d}(X)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module.

References

[1] M.P. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[2] D. Kirby, Artinian modules and Hilbert polynomials, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* (2) **24** (1973) 47–57.

[3] D. Kirby, Dimension and length for Artinian modules, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* (2) **41** (1990) 419–429.

[4] I.G. MacDonald, Secondary representations of modules over a commutative ring, *Symposia Math.* **11** (1973) 23–43.

[5] I.G. MacDonald, R. Y. Sharp, An elementary proof of the non vanishing of certain local cohomology modules, *Qurat. J. Math. (Oxford)* **23** (1972) 197–204.

[6] L. Melkersson, Some applications of a criterion for artinianness of a module, *J. Pure and Appl. Alg.* **101** (1995) 291–303.

[7] L. Melkersson, P. Schenzel, The co-localization of an Artinian module, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.* **38** (1995) 121–131.

[8] A. Ooishi, Matlis duality and width of a module, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **6** (1976) 573–587.

[9] R.N. Roberts, Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi local commutative rings, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)(3)* **26** (1975) 269–273.

[10] R.Y. Sharp, Local cohomology theory in commutative algebra, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)*(2) **21** (1970) 425–434.

[11] Z.M. Tang, Local homology theory for Artinian modules, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994) 1675–1684.

[12] Z.M. Tang, H. Zakeri, Co-Cohen-Macaulay modules and modules of generalized fractions, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994) 2173–2204.